

Dinh, Thi Theu

## Origins of State Capacity (Besley and Persson)

### Derivations of Formulas

Deriving (4), p. 6, Besley and Persson

From (2) and (3)

Income of a high-return individual in group J

$$\left[ r_H + p_s^J (r_H - r_L) \right] w^J$$

Income of a low-return individual in group J

$$r_L w^J$$

$\sigma^J$ : share of group J agents with high returns

⇒ Average income of an individual in group J:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma^J \left[ r_H + p_s^J (r_H - r_L) \right] w^J + (1 - \sigma^J) r_L w^J \\ &= \sigma^J \left[ r_H + p_s^J (r_H - r_L) - r_L \right] w^J + r_L w^J \\ &= \left[ \sigma^J (1 + p_s^J) (r_H - r_L) + r_L \right] \cdot w^J \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of Propositions (1) and (2) (p. 8 and p. 9)

The Incumbent Government has the following objective function

$$f(t_s^J, t_s^K, p_s^J, p_s^K, G_s) \\ = \alpha_s G_s + \bar{p} (1 - t_s^J) \beta^J Y(p_s^J, \sigma^J, w^J) + \underline{p} (1 - t_s^K) \beta^K Y(p_s^K, \sigma^K, w^K)$$

subject to the following constraints

$$p_s^J, p_s^K \leq \pi_s, \quad t_s^J, t_s^K \leq t_s$$

$$\sum_J t_1^J \beta^J Y(p_s^J, \sigma^J, w^J) = G_1 + L(\pi_2 - \pi_1) + F(t_2 - t_1)$$

$$\sum_J t_2^J \beta^J Y(p_s^J, \sigma^J, w^J) = G_2$$

Substitute  $G_1 = \sum_J t_1^J \beta^J Y(p_s^J, \sigma^J, w^J) - L(\pi_2 - \pi_1) - F(t_2 - t_1)$  and  $G_2$

into the objective function, we obtain:

$$f(t_s^J, t_s^K, p_s^J, p_s^K) \\ = \alpha_s \cdot \left[ t_s^J \beta^J Y(p_s^J, \sigma^J, w^J) + t_s^K \beta^K Y(p_s^K, \sigma^K, w^K) - \overbrace{L(\pi_2 - \pi_1) + F(t_2 - t_1)}^{\text{if } s=1} \right] \\ + \bar{p} (1 - t_s^J) \beta^J Y(p_s^J, \sigma^J, w^J) + \underline{p} (1 - t_s^K) \beta^K Y(p_s^K, \sigma^K, w^K) \\ = (\alpha_s - \bar{p}) t_s^J \beta^J Y(p_s^J, \sigma^J, w^J) + (\alpha_s - \underline{p}) t_s^K \beta^K Y(p_s^K, \sigma^K, w^K) \\ + \bar{p} \beta^J Y(p_s^J, \sigma^J, w^J) + \underline{p} \beta^K Y(p_s^K, \sigma^K, w^K) - \underbrace{\alpha_s [L(\pi_2 - \pi_1) + F(t_2 - t_1)]}_{\text{if } s=1}$$

with  $Y(p_s^J, \sigma^J, w^J) = \left[ \sigma^J (1 + p_s^J) (r_H - r_L) + r_L \right] w^J$  if  $s=1$

We maximize this (the last) expression of  $f(t_s^J, t_s^K, p_s^J, p_s^K)$

subject to the following constraints  $p_s^J \leq \pi_s, p_s^K \leq \pi_s, t_s^K \leq t_s, t_s^J \leq t_s$

Derived from the budget constraints  $\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} -\sum_J t_1^J \beta^J Y(p_s^J, \sigma^J, w^J) \leq L(\pi_2 - \pi_1) - F(t_2 - t_1) \\ -\sum_J t_2^J \beta^J Y(p_s^J, \sigma^J, w^J) \leq 0 \end{cases}$

Period 1

$$L_1 = f(t_1^J, t_1^K, p_1^J, p_1^K) - \lambda_1 (p_1^J - \pi_1) - \lambda_2 (p_1^K - \pi_1) - \lambda_3 (t_1^J - t_1) - \lambda_4 (t_1^K - t_1) - \lambda_5 \left[ -\sum t_1^J \beta^J Y(p_1^J, \sigma^J, w^J) + L(\pi_2 - \pi_1) + F(t_2 - t_1) \right]$$

FOCs

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial p_1^J} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_1^J} - \lambda_1 + \lambda_5 \cdot t_1^J \beta^J \frac{\partial Y(p_1^J, \sigma^J, w^J)}{\partial p_1^J}$$

$$= \left[ (\alpha_1 - \bar{p}) t_1^J + \bar{p} \right] \cdot \beta^J \cdot \frac{\partial Y(p_1^J, \sigma^J, w^J)}{\partial p_1^J} - \lambda_1 + \lambda_5 t_1^J \beta^J \frac{\partial Y(p_1^J, \sigma^J, w^J)}{\partial p_1^J}$$

$$= \left[ (\alpha_1 - \bar{p} + \lambda_5) t_1^J + \bar{p} \right] \cdot \beta^J \cdot \sigma^J w^J (r_H - r_L) - \lambda_1 = 0$$

$$= \left[ (\alpha_1 + \lambda_5) t_1^J + (1 - t_1^J) \bar{p} \right] \beta^J \sigma^J w^J (r_H - r_L) - \lambda_1 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial p_1^K} = \left[ (\alpha_1 - \underline{p} + \lambda_5) t_1^K + \underline{p} \right] \cdot \beta^K \sigma^K w^K (r_H - r_L) - \lambda_2$$

$$= \left[ (\alpha_1 + \lambda_5) t_1^K + (1 - t_1^K) \underline{p} \right] \cdot \beta^K \sigma^K w^K (r_H - r_L) - \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t_1^J} = (\alpha_1 - \bar{p}) \beta^J Y(p_1^J, \sigma^J, w^J) - \lambda_3 + \lambda_5 \cdot \beta^J Y(p_1^J, \sigma^J, w^J)$$

$$(\alpha_1 - \bar{p} + \lambda_5) \cdot \beta^J \left[ \sigma^J (1 + p_1^J) (r_H - r_L) + r_L \right] w^J - \lambda_3 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t_1^K} = (\alpha_1 - \underline{p} + \lambda_5) \beta^K \left[ \sigma^K (1 + p_1^K) (r_H - r_L) + r_L \right] w^K - \lambda_4 = 0$$



$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5 \geq 0$$

$$\lambda_1 (p_1^J - \pi_1) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 (p_1^K - \pi_1) = 0$$

$$\lambda_3 (t_1^J - \tau_1) = 0$$

$$\lambda_4 (t_1^K - \tau_1) = 0$$

$$\lambda_5 \left[ - \sum t_1^J \beta^J Y(p_1^J, \sigma^J, w^J) + L(\pi_2 - \pi_1) + F(\tau_2 - \tau_1) \right] = 0$$

Since the first term of  $\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial p_1^J} > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 > 0 \Rightarrow p_1^J - \pi_1 = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{p_1^J = \pi_1}$

the first term of  $\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial p_1^K} > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_2 > 0 \Rightarrow p_1^K - \pi_1 = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{p_1^K = \pi_1}$

\* If  $\bar{p} = \underline{p} = 1$

• If  $\alpha_1 > 1$

$\Rightarrow$  the first term of  $\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t_1^J} > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_3 > 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{t_1^J = \tau_1}$

the first term of  $\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t_1^K} > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_4 > 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{t_1^K = \tau_1}$

• If  $\alpha_1 < 1$

$\Rightarrow$  From the expression  $\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t_1^J}$ , and  $\lambda_3 \geq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 - \bar{p} + \lambda_5 \geq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_5 > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_J t_1^J \beta^J Y(p_1^J, \sigma^J, w^J) = L(\pi_2 - \pi_1) + F(\tau_2 - \tau_1) \Rightarrow \boxed{G_1 = 0}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Model predicts incumbent governments' indifference to any  $(t_1^J, t_2^J)$  satisfying the above equation.  
 Authors "assumed, without loss of generality",  $t_1^J = t_2^J = \hat{t}_1$  (p.9)

• If  $\alpha_1 = 1$

$\Rightarrow$  May have  $\lambda_5 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0 \Rightarrow t_1^J \leq \tau_1, t_1^K \leq \tau_1,$

$$\sum_J t_1^J \beta^J Y(p_1^J, \sigma^J, w^J) \geq L(\pi_2 - \pi_1) + F(\tau_2 - \tau_1)$$

\* If  $\bar{p} > \underline{p}$ :

• If  $\alpha_1 > \bar{p}$

$\Rightarrow$  the 1<sup>st</sup> term of  $\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t_1^J} > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_3 > 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{t_1^J = \tau_1}$

the 1<sup>st</sup> term of  $\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t_1^K} > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_4 > 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{t_1^K = \tau_1}$

$$\Rightarrow G_1 = \tau_1 \sum_J \beta^J Y(\pi_1, \sigma^J, w^J) - L(\pi_2 - \pi_1) - F(t_2 - t_1)$$

• If  $\alpha_1 < \bar{p}$ : From the expression of  $\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t_1^J}$ , and from  $\lambda_3 \geq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 - \bar{p} + \lambda_5 \geq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_5 > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_J t_1^J \beta^J Y(\pi_1, \sigma^J, w^J) = L(\pi_2 - \pi_1) + F(t_2 - t_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{G_1 = 0}$$

Also, since  $\alpha_1 - \bar{p} + \lambda_5 \geq 0$

and  $\alpha_1 - \underline{p} + \lambda_5 > \alpha_1 - \bar{p} + \lambda_5$  (because  $\bar{p} > \underline{p}$ )

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 - \underline{p} + \lambda_5 > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{the first term of } \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t_1^k} > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_4 > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{t_1^k = \tau_1}$$

$$\Rightarrow t_1^J = \frac{L(\pi_2 - \pi_1) + F(t_2 - t_1) - \tau_1 \beta^k Y(\pi_1, \sigma^k, w^k)}{\beta^J Y(\pi_1, \sigma^J, w^J)}$$

• If  $\alpha_1 = \bar{p}$   $\Rightarrow$  the first term of  $\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t_1^k} > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_4 > 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{t_1^k = \tau_1}$

May have  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_5 = 0$ ,

$$G_1 \geq 0$$

$$\sum_J t_1^J \beta^J Y(\pi_1, \sigma^J, w^J) \geq L(\pi_2 - \pi_1) + F(t_2 - t_1)$$

$$\text{and } t_1^J \leq \tau_1$$

## Period 2

Since the optimization problem of period 1 differs from that of period 2 only in the budget constraint

$$\text{in period 1: } -\sum_J t_1^J \beta^J Y(p_1^J, \sigma^J, w^J) \leq -L(\pi_2 - \pi_1) - F(t_2 - t_1)$$

$$\text{in period 2: } -\sum_J t_2^J \beta^J Y(p_2^J, \sigma^J, w^J) \leq 0$$

(p. 3 of this document)

Solutions of the period 2 optimization problem are (for comparison with period 1 solution, one could refer to p. 4-5 of this document)

$$(*) \quad p_2^J = p_2^K = \pi_2$$

$$(*) \quad \text{If } \bar{p} = \underline{p} = 1$$

$$\bullet \text{ If } \alpha_2 > 1 \Rightarrow t_2^J = \tau_2; t_2^K = \tau_2; G_2 = \tau_2 \sum_J \beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J)$$

$$\bullet \text{ If } \alpha_2 < 1 \Rightarrow \sum_J t_2^J \beta^J Y(p_2^J, \sigma^J, w^J) = 0, G_2 = 0$$

$$\bullet \text{ If } \alpha_2 = 1, \text{ again, may have } \lambda_5 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0 \\ \sum_J t_2^J \beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J) \geq 0, t_2^J \leq \tau_2, t_2^K \leq \tau_2$$

$$(*) \quad \text{If } \bar{p} > \underline{p}$$

$$\bullet \text{ If } \alpha_2 > \bar{p}, t_2^J = \tau_2; t_2^K = \tau_2; G_2 = \tau_2 \cdot \sum_J \beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J)$$

$$\bullet \text{ If } \alpha_2 < \bar{p}, G_2 = 0; t_2^K = \tau_2; t_2^J = -\frac{\tau_2 \cdot \beta^K Y(\pi_2, \sigma^K, w^K)}{\beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J)}$$

$$\bullet \text{ If } \alpha_2 = \bar{p}, t_2^K = \tau_2, \text{ may have } \lambda_3 = \lambda_5 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_J t_2^J \beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J) \geq 0$$

$$t_2^J \leq \tau_2$$



Derivation of (9) from (15) and (16) [(15), (16) appear in Appendix, p. 21, 22]

Note that (15), (16) are derived from (8), and

that in (16), the authors made a small typo error,

the last term is written as  $\tau_2(\underline{\rho} - \bar{\rho}) \beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^k, w^k)$

it should be  $\tau_2(\underline{\rho} - \bar{\rho}) \beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J)$

Deriving (9):

- Note that the 1<sup>st</sup> term in (15) = the 1<sup>st</sup> term in (16). Let them = M  
 the 2<sup>nd</sup> term in (15) = the 2<sup>nd</sup> term in (16). Let them = N  
 Let the 3<sup>rd</sup> term in (15) = P  
 and the 3<sup>rd</sup> term in (16) = Q

- Then:

$$\begin{aligned} W^J(\tau_2, \pi_2) &= \gamma^J E \{ W^J(\alpha_2, \tau_2, \pi_2) \} + (1 - \gamma^J) E \{ W^K(\alpha_2, \tau_2, \pi_2) \} \\ &= \gamma^J [M + (1 - H(\bar{\rho})) \cdot E(N) + H(\bar{\rho}) \cdot P] \\ &\quad + (1 - \gamma^J) \cdot [M + (1 - H(\bar{\rho})) \cdot E(N) + H(\bar{\rho}) \cdot Q] \\ &= M + [1 - H(\bar{\rho})] \cdot E(N) + H(\bar{\rho}) \cdot [\gamma^J \cdot P + (1 - \gamma^J) \cdot Q] \\ &= \bar{\rho} \beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J) + \underbrace{\rho \beta^K Y(\pi_2, \sigma^k, w^k)}_{e(N)} \\ &\quad + (1 - H(\bar{\rho})) \cdot \tau_2 \cdot E \left[ (\alpha_2 - \bar{\rho}) \cdot \beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J) + (\alpha_2 - \underline{\rho}) \beta^K Y(\pi_2, \sigma^k, w^k) \right]_{(\alpha_2 > \bar{\rho})} \\ &\quad + H(\bar{\rho}) \cdot \left[ \underbrace{\gamma^J \cdot \tau_2 (\bar{\rho} - \underline{\rho}) \beta^K Y(\pi_2, \sigma^k, w^k)}_{(P)} + (1 - \gamma^J) \cdot \underbrace{\tau_2 \cdot (\underline{\rho} - \bar{\rho}) \beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J)}_{(Q)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Let  $J = \beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J)$  and  $K = \beta^K Y(\pi_2, \sigma^k, w^k)$ , then

$$W_{(\tau_2, \pi_2)}^J = \bar{p}J + \underline{p}K + (1-H(\bar{p})) \cdot \tau_2 \cdot E \left[ (\alpha_2 - \bar{p})J + (\alpha_2 - \underline{p})K \mid \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p} \right] \\ + H(\bar{p}) \cdot \tau_2 \cdot \left[ \gamma^J (\bar{p} - \underline{p})K + (1 - \gamma^J) (\underline{p} - \bar{p})J \right]$$

$$= \bar{p}J + \underline{p}K + \tau_2 \cdot (1-H(\bar{p})) \cdot E \left[ \alpha_2 (J+K) - (\bar{p}J + \underline{p}K) \mid \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p} \right] \\ + \tau_2 \cdot H(\bar{p}) \cdot \left[ \gamma^J \bar{p}K - \gamma^J \underline{p}K + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}J - (1 - \gamma^J) \bar{p}J \right]$$

$$= \bar{p}J + \underline{p}K + \tau_2 (1-H(\bar{p})) \left[ E(\alpha_2) \cdot (J+K) - (\bar{p}J + \underline{p}K) \mid \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p} \right] \\ + \tau_2 \cdot H(\bar{p}) \cdot \left[ -(\bar{p}J + \underline{p}K) + \gamma^J \bar{p}K + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}K + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}J + \gamma^J \bar{p}J \right]$$

$$= \bar{p}J + \underline{p}K + \tau_2 \cdot (1-H(\bar{p})) \cdot \left[ E(\alpha_2) \cdot (J+K) - (\bar{p}J + \underline{p}K) \mid \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p} \right] \\ + \tau_2 \cdot H(\bar{p}) \cdot \left[ -(\bar{p}J + \underline{p}K) + (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) \cdot (J+K) \right]$$

$$= [\bar{p}J + \underline{p}K] \cdot \left[ 1 - \tau_2 (1-H(\bar{p})) - \tau_2 \cdot H(\bar{p}) \right] + \tau_2 \cdot [1-H(\bar{p})] \cdot E(\alpha_2 \mid \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) \cdot (J+K) \\ + \tau_2 \cdot H(\bar{p}) \cdot (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) \cdot (J+K)$$

$$= [\bar{p}J + \underline{p}K] \cdot [1 - \tau_2] + \tau_2 \cdot \left[ (1-H(\bar{p})) \cdot E(\alpha_2 \mid \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) \cdot (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) \right] \cdot [J+K]$$

$$= (1 - \tau_2) \cdot \left[ \bar{p} \beta^J \gamma(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J) + \underline{p} \beta^K \gamma(\pi_2, \sigma^K, w^K) \right]$$

$$+ \tau_2 \cdot \left[ (1-H(\bar{p})) \cdot E(\alpha_2 \mid \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) \cdot (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) \right] \\ \cdot \left[ \beta^J \gamma(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J) + \beta^K \gamma(\pi_2, \sigma^K, w^K) \right]$$



Deriving (12) (p. 11, Besley & Persson)

Note:  $Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J) = \left[ \sigma^J (1 + \pi_2) (r_H - r_L) + r_L \right] \cdot w^J$

$$W^J(\tau_2, \pi_2) = (1 - \tau_2) \cdot \left[ \bar{p} \beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J) + \underline{p} \beta^K Y(\pi_2, \sigma^K, w^K) \right] \\ + \tau_2 \cdot \left[ (1 - H(\bar{p})) \cdot E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) \cdot (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) \right] \\ \cdot \left[ \beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J) + \beta^K Y(\pi_2, \sigma^K, w^K) \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial W^J(\tau_2, \pi_2)}{\partial \pi_2} = (1 - \tau_2) \cdot \left[ \bar{p} \beta^J \sigma^J (r_H - r_L) w^J + \underline{p} \beta^K \sigma^K (r_H - r_L) w^K \right] \\ + \tau_2 \cdot \left[ (1 - H(\bar{p})) \cdot E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) \cdot (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) \right] \\ \cdot \left[ \beta^J \sigma^J (r_H - r_L) w^J + \beta^K \sigma^K (r_H - r_L) w^K \right]$$

$$= (1 - \tau_2) \left[ \bar{p} w^J \Omega (r_H - r_L) + \underline{p} w^K \Omega (r_H - r_L) \right] \\ + \tau_2 \cdot \left[ (1 - H(\bar{p})) E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) \right] \cdot (r_H - r_L) (w^J \Omega + w^K \Omega) \\ \left( w^J, w^K, \Omega \text{ defined under (10) p. 11} \right)$$

$$= (1 - \tau_2) (r_H - r_L) \Omega (\bar{p} w^J + \underline{p} w^K) \\ + \tau_2 \cdot \left[ (1 - H(\bar{p})) E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) \right] (r_H - r_L) \Omega \\ \text{(since } w^J + w^K = 1)$$

$$= (r_H - r_L) \Omega (\bar{p} w^J + \underline{p} w^K) \\ + (r_H - r_L) \Omega \tau_2 \cdot \left[ (1 - H(\bar{p})) \cdot E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) - \bar{p} w^J - \underline{p} w^K \right]$$

Since  $\bar{p} w^J + \underline{p} w^K = \bar{p} w^J + \underline{p} (1 - w^J) = \underline{p} + (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) \underbrace{w^J}_{\text{defined in formula (11), p. 11, Besley \& Persson}}$

$$\frac{\partial W^J(\tau_2, \pi_2)}{\partial \pi_2} = (r_H - r_L) \Omega \rho^J$$

$$+ (r_H - r_L) \Omega \tau_2 \cdot \left[ (1 - H(\bar{p})) \cdot E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) - \underline{p} - (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) \omega^J \right]$$

In the 2<sup>nd</sup> term,

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - H(\bar{p})) \cdot E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) \cdot (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) - \underline{p} - (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) \omega^J \\ &= (1 - H(\bar{p})) \cdot \left[ E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) - \underline{p} - (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) \omega^J \right] + H(\bar{p}) \left[ \gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p} - \underline{p} - (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) \omega^J \right] \\ &= [1 - H(\bar{p})] \cdot \left[ E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) - \underline{p} - (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) \omega^J \right] + H(\bar{p}) \cdot \left[ \gamma^J (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) - \omega^J (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) \right] \\ &= [1 - H(\bar{p})] \cdot \left[ E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) - \underline{p} - (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) \omega^J \right] + H(\bar{p}) \cdot (\gamma^J - \omega^J) (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, 
$$\frac{\partial W^J(\tau_2, \pi_2)}{\partial \pi_2} = (r_H - r_L) \Omega (\rho^J + \tau_2 \lambda_2^J)$$

where 
$$\lambda_2^J = [1 - H(\bar{p})] \left[ E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) - \underline{p} - (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) \omega^J \right] + H(\bar{p}) (\gamma^J - \omega^J) (\bar{p} - \underline{p})$$

Deriving (13) (p. 11, Besley & Persson)

Note :  $Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J) = \left[ \sigma^J (1 + \pi_2) (r_H - r_L) + r_L \right] \cdot w^J$

$$W^J(\tau_2, \pi_2) = (1 - \tau_2) \cdot \left[ \bar{p} \beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J) + \underline{p} \beta^K Y(\pi_2, \sigma^K, w^K) \right] \\ + \tau_2 \cdot \left[ (1 - H(\bar{p})) \cdot E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) \cdot (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) \right] \\ \cdot \left[ \beta^J Y(\pi_2, \sigma^J, w^J) + \beta^K Y(\pi_2, \sigma^K, w^K) \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial W^J(\tau_2, \pi_2)}{\partial \tau_2} = -\bar{p} \beta^J \left[ \sigma^J (1 + \pi_2) (r_H - r_L) + r_L \right] w^J - \underline{p} \beta^K \left[ \sigma^K (1 + \pi_2) (r_H - r_L) + r_L \right] w^K \\ + \left[ (1 - H(\bar{p})) \cdot E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) \cdot (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) \right] \\ \cdot \left[ \beta^J \left[ \sigma^J (1 + \pi_2) (r_H - r_L) + r_L \right] w^J + \beta^K \left[ \sigma^K (1 + \pi_2) (r_H - r_L) + r_L \right] w^K \right]$$

Note from page 10 of this document (2<sup>nd</sup> page of the derivation of formula (12)) that

$$\lambda_2^J = [1 - H(\bar{p})] \cdot \left[ E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) - \underline{p} - (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) w^J \right] + H(\bar{p}) \cdot (\gamma^J - w^J) (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) \\ = [1 - H(\bar{p})] \cdot E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) \cdot (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) - \left[ \underline{p} + (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) w^J \right]$$

(This appears below "in the 2<sup>nd</sup> term" also on p. 10 of this document.)

Since  $\underline{p} + (\bar{p} - \underline{p}) w^J = \bar{p} w^J + \underline{p} (1 - w^J) = \bar{p} w^J + \underline{p} w^K$

( $w^J + w^K = 1$  as explained on p. 11 of Besley & Persson's paper)  
 Page 11 - T.T.D.



We have

$$\lambda_2^J = [1 - H(\bar{p})] \cdot E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) - [\bar{p} \omega^J + \underline{p} \omega^K]$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial W^J(\tau_2, \pi_2)}{\partial \tau_2} &= \left[ (1 - H(\bar{p})) E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) \cdot (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \left[ \beta^J [\sigma^J (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + r_L] w^J + \beta^K [\sigma^K (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + r_L] w^K \right] \\ &\quad - \bar{p} \beta^J [\sigma^J (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + r_L] w^J - \underline{p} \beta^K [\sigma^K (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + r_L] w^K \\ &= \left[ (1 - H(\bar{p})) \cdot E(\alpha_2 | \alpha_2 \geq \bar{p}) + H(\bar{p}) \cdot (\gamma^J \bar{p} + (1 - \gamma^J) \underline{p}) - (\bar{p} \omega^J + \underline{p} \omega^K) \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \left[ \omega^J \Omega (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + r_L \beta^J w^J + \omega^K \Omega (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + r_L \beta^K w^K \right] \\ &\quad + [\bar{p} \omega^J + \underline{p} \omega^K] \cdot \left[ \omega^J \Omega (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + r_L \beta^J w^J + \omega^K \Omega (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + r_L \beta^K w^K \right] \\ &\quad - \bar{p} \left[ \omega^J \Omega (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + \beta^J w^J r_L \right] - \underline{p} \left[ \omega^K \Omega (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + \beta^K w^K r_L \right] \\ &= \lambda_2^J \cdot \left[ \omega^J \Omega (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + \beta^J w^J r_L \right] - \underline{p} \left[ \omega^K \Omega (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + \beta^K w^K r_L \right] \\ &\quad \text{(Since } \beta^J \sigma^J w^J = \omega^J \Omega \text{ and } \beta^K \sigma^K w^K = \omega^K \Omega, \text{ p. 11, Besley \& Persson)} \\ &= \lambda_2^J \cdot \left[ \Omega (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + r_L (\beta^J w^J + \beta^K w^K) \right] \\ &\quad + [\bar{p} \omega^J + \underline{p} \omega^K] \left[ \Omega (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + r_L (\beta^J w^J + \beta^K w^K) \right] \\ &\quad - \bar{p} \left[ \omega^J \Omega (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + \beta^J w^J r_L \right] - \underline{p} \left[ \omega^K \Omega (1 + \pi_2)(r_H - r_L) + \beta^K w^K r_L \right] \\ &\quad \text{(Since } \omega^J + \omega^K = 1, \text{ p. 11, Besley and Persson)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \lambda_2^J \left[ \Omega (1 + \pi_2) (r_H - r_L) + r_L (\beta^J w^J + \beta^K w^K) \right]$$

$$+ r_L \cdot \left\{ \left[ \bar{\rho} w^J + \underline{\rho} w^K \right] \cdot \left[ \beta^J w^J + \beta^K w^K \right] - \bar{\rho} \beta^J w^J - \underline{\rho} \beta^K w^K \right\}$$

(Since  $\bar{\rho} w^J \Omega (1 + \pi_2) (r_H - r_L)$  is canceled out from the 2<sup>nd</sup> & 3<sup>rd</sup> term  
 $\underline{\rho} w^K \Omega (1 + \pi_2) (r_H - r_L)$  is canceled out from the 2<sup>nd</sup> & 4<sup>th</sup> term  
in the last expression on the previous page, p. 12)

$$= \lambda_2^J \left[ \Omega (1 + \pi_2) (r_H - r_L) + r_L (\beta^J w^J + \beta^K w^K) \right]$$

$$+ r_L \left\{ \left[ \bar{\rho} w^J + \underline{\rho} w^K \right] \cdot \left[ \beta^J w^J + \beta^K w^K \right] - \bar{\rho} [w^J + w^K] \beta^J w^J - \underline{\rho} [w^J + w^K] \beta^K w^K \right\}$$

(Since  $w^J + w^K = 1$ , p. 11, Besley and Persson)

$$= \lambda_2^J \left[ \Omega (1 + \pi_2) (r_H - r_L) + r_L (\beta^J w^J + \beta^K w^K) \right]$$

$$+ r_L \cdot \left\{ \bar{\rho} [w^J \beta^K w^K - w^K \beta^J w^J] + \underline{\rho} [w^K \beta^J w^J - w^J \beta^K w^K] \right\}$$

$$= \lambda_2^J \left[ \Omega (1 + \pi_2) (r_H - r_L) + r_L (\beta^J w^J + \beta^K w^K) \right]$$

$$+ r_L \cdot [\bar{\rho} - \underline{\rho}] \cdot [w^J \beta^K w^K - w^K \beta^J w^J]$$

$$= \lambda_2^J \left[ \Omega (1 + \pi_2) (r_H - r_L) + r_L (\beta^J w^J + \beta^K w^K) \right]$$

$$+ r_L [\bar{\rho} - \underline{\rho}] \cdot \frac{\beta^J w^J \beta^K w^K (\sigma^J - \sigma^K)}{\Omega}$$

(Since  $w^J = \frac{\beta^J w^J \sigma^J}{\Omega}$  and  $w^K = \frac{\beta^K w^K \sigma^K}{\Omega}$ )